	Case 2:22-cv-00568-TLN-AC Documer	nt 8 Filed 07/13/22 P	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CIRON B. SPRINGFIELD,	No. 2:22-cv-0568 A	C P
12	Petitioner,		
13	V.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
14	ROBERT BURTON, WARDEN,		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, seeks a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28		
18	U.S.C. § 2254 and filed a motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 5). The matter was		
19	referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule		
20	302. On June 15, 2022, the undersigned reviewed petitioner's trust fund account statement and		
21	found that petitioner was able to pay the filing fee. See ECF No. 7. As a result, petitioner was		
22	directed to pay the \$5.00 fee or face a recommendation that his motion to proceed in forma		
23	pauperis be denied and the action dismissed without prejudice. <u>Id.</u> Petitioner has not paid the		
24	filing fee, nor responded to the court's order in any way, within the time provided.		
25	Petitioner's in forma pauperis affidavit reports a trust account balance of \$3,205.07. See		
26	ECF No. 5 at 4. This does not establish that plaintiff is unable to pay the \$5.00 filing fee required		
27	by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a) to commence a habeas corpus action in federal district court. See 28		

U.S.C. § 1915(a) (in forma pauperis standard). Accordingly, petitioner has made an inadequate

## Case 2:22-cv-00568-TLN-AC Document 8 Filed 07/13/22 Page 2 of 2 showing of indigency. See Alexander v. Carson Adult High School, 9 F.3d 1448 (9th Cir. 1993). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign a District Judge to this action. IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that 1. Petitioner's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 5) be DENIED; and 2. This action be DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to pay the filing fee. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: July 12, 2022 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE